

✓ Total46 Response Found

## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 46 Response

Dept. Zoology


Name Anindya Pattanayak

Year 2021


### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total46 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. No
- 2. It's ok
- 3. Everything is fine
- 4. Excellent organization and motives
- 5. Yours honest and careful sir.yours sir is very excited to teach everything in my subject.one of my favourite professor in my college.
- 6. It would have been very good if the practical was shown a little too well in online.
- 7. ???? ???? ?????? ???? ??????

✓ Total46 Response Found

- 8. Good
- 9. Dhire dhire porale valo hoy
- 10. Good
- 11. Good
- 12. Nothing
- 13. Nothing.
- 14. Dhire dhire porale valo hoy
- 15. No
- 16. No
- 17. No
- 18. ???????? ????? ???? ???? ??
- 19. Kono problem nai...
- 20. Good
- 21. Good
- 22. No
- 23. No
- 24. No

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT


Based on 15 Response

Subject Bengali


Semester 1st

Year 2021


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.



5. Availability of text book.




6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests



7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject


- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



8. Overall rating

✓ Total15 Response Found

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

- 1. ????? ?????? ??? ?????? ???? ???
- 2. My best college
- 3. Valuable Method
- 4. Valuable Method
- 5. Good
- 6. Good
- 7. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT


Based on 47 Response

Subject Bengali


Semester 3rd

Year 2021


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.



5. Availability of text book.




6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests



7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject


- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



8. Overall rating

✓ Total47 Response Found

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

- 1. My collage is beautiful
- 2. My collage is beautiful
- 3. No problem
- 4. Audio Visual Mathod
- 5. Audio-visual method
- 6. Audio-visual muthod
- 7. Very good
- 8. Audio visual method
- 9. Audio visual method
- 10. Audio visual method

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT


Based on 47 Response

Subject Bengali


Semester 5th

Year 2021


1. Extent of coverage of course




2. Work Load of the Subject




3. Applicability/relevance to real life situation




4. Relevance of the subject in relation to the understanding of major field.



5. Availability of text book.




6.Relevance of the subject covered to the examination/tests



7. Additional remedial teaching for better understanding of the subject


- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



8. Overall rating

✓ Total47 Response Found

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. My collage is beautiful
- 2. My collage is beautiful
- 3. No problem
- 4. Audio Visual Mathod
- 5. Audio-visual method
- 6. Audio-visual muthod
- 7. Very good
- 8. Audio visual method
- 9. Audio visual method
- 10. Audio visual method

# STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 203 Response

Dept.  Name  Year


## 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




## 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




## 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




## 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




## 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




## 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




## 7. Lecture was.




## 8. Subject coverage was




## 9. Nature of delivery was




## 10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



## 11. Presentation of the lecture was.



## 12. Overall rating




- 
1. Very good
  2. Very good
  3. Good.
  4. Good
  5. ?????? ?????? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ?
  6. Very good
  7. Good
  8. No
  9. No
  10. No
  11. ??
  12. Very Good
  13. Good
  14. No problem
  15. Thank you
  16. Everything is alright
  17. ?????? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ?
  18. very good quanty subject and the syllabus is most attractive
  19. Good
  20. No problem
  21. Everything is all right
  22. Everything is all right
  23. No problem
  24. Evrithing is all right
  25. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
  26. Everything is all right
  27. Audio visual method
  28. Audio visual method
  29. Audio visual method
  30. No problem
  31. No problem
  32. No problem
  33. No problem
  34. Valuable Method
  35. Valuable Method
  36. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
  37. Good
  38. Very nice
  39. all well

# STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 203 Response

Dept.  Name  Year


## 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




## 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




## 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




## 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




## 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




## 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




## 7. Lecture was.




## 8. Subject coverage was




## 9. Nature of delivery was




## 10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



## 11. Presentation of the lecture was.



## 12. Overall rating




- 
1. Very good
  2. Very good
  3. Good.
  4. Good
  5. ?????? ?????? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ?
  6. Very good
  7. Good
  8. No
  9. No
  10. No
  11. ??
  12. Very Good
  13. Good
  14. No problem
  15. Thank you
  16. Everything is alright
  17. ?????? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ?
  18. very good quanty subject and the syllabus is most attractive
  19. Good
  20. No problem
  21. Everything is all right
  22. Everything is all right
  23. No problem
  24. Evrithing is all right
  25. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
  26. Everything is all right
  27. Audio visual method
  28. Audio visual method
  29. Audio visual method
  30. No problem
  31. No problem
  32. No problem
  33. No problem
  34. Valuable Method
  35. Valuable Method
  36. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
  37. Good
  38. Very nice
  39. all well

# STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 203 Response

Dept.  Name  Year


## 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




## 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




## 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




## 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




## 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




## 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




## 7. Lecture was.




## 8. Subject coverage was




## 9. Nature of delivery was




## 10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



## 11. Presentation of the lecture was.



## 12. Overall rating



- 
1. Very good
  2. Very good
  3. Good.
  4. Good
  5. ?????? ?????? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ?
  6. Very good
  7. Good
  8. No
  9. No
  10. No
  11. ??
  12. Very Good
  13. Good
  14. No problem
  15. Thank you
  16. Everything is alright
  17. ?????? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ?
  18. very good quanty subject and the syllabus is most attractive
  19. Good
  20. No problem
  21. Everything is all right
  22. Everything is all right
  23. No problem
  24. Evrithing is all right
  25. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
  26. Everything is all right
  27. Audio visual method
  28. Audio visual method
  29. Audio visual method
  30. No problem
  31. No problem
  32. No problem
  33. No problem
  34. Valuable Method
  35. Valuable Method
  36. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
  37. Good
  38. Very nice
  39. all well


 Total203 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 203 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total203 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Very good
2. Very good
3. Good.
4. Good
5. ?????? ?????? ???? ???? ???? ??? ???? ,??? ???? ?????? ??? ??? ???? ???? ?????? ?????? ???? ? ?????? ?? ??? ?????? ???? ?????????? ??? ? ?????????  
????? ?????? ??? ???? ?
6. Very good

✓ Total203 Response Found

- 7. Good
- 8. No
- 9. No
- 10. No
- 11. ??
- 12. Very Good
- 13. Good
- 14. No problem
- 15. Thank you
- 16. Everything is alright
- 17. ????? ??? ???? ??? ????? ??? ???? ???? ?
- 18. very good quanty subject and the syllabus is most attractive
- 19. Good
- 20. No problem
- 21. Everything is all right
- 22. Everything is all right
- 23. No problem
- 24. Evrithing is all right
- 25. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
- 26. Everything is all right
- 27. Audio visual method
- 28. Audio visual method
- 29. Audio visual method
- 30. No problem
- 31. No problem
- 32. No problem
- 33. No problem
- 34. Valuable Method
- 35. Valuable Method
- 36. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
- 37. Good
- 38. Very nice
- 39. all well


 Total17 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 17 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




Total 17 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. No problem

✓ Total17 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 198 Response

Dept. 

Sanskrit


Name 

Dr. Bhaba Sankar


Year 

2021


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total198 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Regular class attend
- 2. No suggestion
- 3. ????
- 4. No suggestion
- 5. No suggestion
- 6. Bhalo
- 7. Bhalo

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

✓ Total198 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 89 Response

Dept. Sanskrit


Name Dr. Bhaba Sankar Mukher

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total89 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Regular class attend
- 2. No suggestion
- 3. ????
- 4. No suggestion
- 5. No suggestion
- 6. Bhalo
- 7. ...

8. Good

## 9. Bhalo

10. Good

11. No suggestion

12. No suggestion

13. No suggestion

14. ??? ???? ????? ???? ???? ???? ???? ?

✓ Total89 Response Found

 Total48 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 48 Response

Dept. Bengali




Name Dr. Chanchal Kum




Year 2021


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 48 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Sir is the good teacher
2. NA
3. NA
4. Thank you
5. Sir khub valo
6. NA
7. Audio visual method

8. No problem

9. No problem

10. Audio visual method

11. Sir ? mam ?????? ?????? ???? ????????? ???? ????????? ???? ,???? ????????? ??? ??? ????? ????????? ?? ??? ??? ????? ??? ????? ????????? ????????? ?????? sir ?  
mam ????

✓ Total48 Response Found


✓ Total71 Response Found

**STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**


Based on 71 Response

Dept.  Name  Year 


## 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




## 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation &amp; comprehensibility




## 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




## 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




## 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



## 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 71 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. No suggestion
3. No suggestion
4. No suggestion

✓ Total71 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 46 Response

Dept. Sanskrit


Name Dr. Gagan Chandra Dey

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total46 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. No suggestion
3. No suggestion
4. No suggestion

✓ Total46 Response Found


 Total32 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 32 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 32 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Nothing
2. Very good
3. Good
4. Good

✓ Total32 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 19 Response

Dept. 

Chemistry

Name 


Dr. Harekrishna Bar

Year 

2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class


- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Nothing
2. Very good



✓

Total18 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 18 Response

Dept. 

physiology


Name 

Dr. Jhumu Manna


Year 

2021


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. ?? ??? ????? ?????? ?????? ???, ?????? ????? ?? ???? ?????? ??????
2. Kono problem nei
3. Good
4. Good
5. Good
6. Kono problem nei
7. ????? ?????? general ? ???? ??? ?????? ?????? ?????? ???? ???

- 8. Help
- 9. Kono problem nai....
- 10. Good
- 11. Good

✓ Total18 Response Found


 Total62 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 62 Response

Dept. Name Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 62 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Needed essential book service in departmental library.
2. Needed Projector best teaching method
3. Needed projector best teaching method
4. A
5. Needed projector best teaching method
6. Nothing
7. All process is good

- 8. All is good
- 9. Very good

✓ Total62 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 30 Response

Dept. Education


Name Dr. Krishna Prasad Bar

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Needed essential book service in departmental library.
2. Needed Projector best teaching method
3. Needed projector best teaching method
4. A
5. Needed projector best teaching method



✓ Total58 Response Found

## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 58 Response

Dept. Bengali


Name Dr. LILTU MONDA

Year 2021


### 1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total58 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Teachers stuff is well.
2. All process is good
3. All is good
4. NA
5. Thank you
6. NA
7. Our college have a library but the books are not available.

- 8. Audio visual method
- 9. No problem
- 10. No problem
- 11. Audio visual method
- 12. Very good

✓ Total58 Response Found

 Total22 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 22 Response

Dept. physiology


Name Dr. Monalisha Bar

Year 2021


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total22 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions







1. ????? ? ? ?????? ? ???? ??? ? ? ?????????? ??????? ?????? ???? ???? ??????
2. Bangla te porale valo hoy
3. Good
4. Good
5. Good
6. Bangla te class korle valo hoy
7. Thanking you & Ok

- 8. Kono problem nei
- 9. ???? ?????? general ? ???? ??? ?????? ??????? ?????? ???? ???
- 10. Good
- 11. Bangla te class korla valo hoto...
- 12. Good
- 13. Good


✓ Total22 Response Found

**STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**


Based on 51 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 
**1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you****2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility****3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher****4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher****5. Ability to integrate content with other courses****6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class**


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Ok
2. ????? ????? ???? ???? ????? ?????..??? ????????? ? ???? ????????? ????????
3. ????????? ???? ????????? ???? ???? ?
4. Audio visual method
5. Audio visual method
6. No problem
7. No problem

- 8. Audio visual method
- 9. Thanks


 Total39 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 39 Response

Dept. Name Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total39 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Good
- 2. Good
- 3. Very good
- 4. Very good
- 5. Very good
- 6. Very good
- 7. No

8. Good

9. Amader online class a khub durto porano Hoya and kono nots deoya Hoya na Jodi ai sob bisoya nojon rekhe amader porano Hoya tahole amader khub subidha hoyo

10. Drutho vaba na poriya asta asta porala volo hoba

11. Drutho vaba na poriya asta asta porala volo hoba

12. Thanks

✓ Total39 Response Found

 Total26 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 26 Response


Dept. Chemistry




Name Dr. Prasenjit Maity

Year 2021


#### 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total26 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Nice
2. Good

✓ Total26 Response Found

 Total32 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 32 Response

Dept. Chemistry


Name Dr. Prasun Kumar

Year 2021


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total32 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Specialisation in physical chemistry
2. Excellent
3. I want books form linrary

✓ Total32 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 17 Response


Dept. Chemistry

Name Dr. Prasun Kumar Pal

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good



12. Overall rating

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good



### Suggestions

1. Specialisation in physical chemistry

✓ Total34 Response Found

## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 34 Response

Dept. Mathematics


Name Dr. Puja Ghosh

Year 2021


### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total34 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. I want to make offline class as soon as possible
2. Good maddam

✓ Total34 Response Found


✓ Total59 Response Found

**STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**


Based on 59 Response

Dept.  Name  Year 


## 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




## 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation &amp; comprehensibility




## 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




## 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




## 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



## 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total59 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Very good
- 2. Thank you
- 3. Audio visual method
- 4. Audio visual method
- 5. No problem
- 6. No problem
- 7. Audio visual method

- 8. All good
- 9. Good

✓ Total59 Response Found


 Total73 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 73 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 73 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Modern technology can be added to simplify topic
2. Library improvement
3. Our teacher are very kind to us. I hope that he will carryon this kindness to us in future.
4. Improvement of E-LIBRAR
5. Nothing else
6. If our sir give screen presentation on online classes it will be better for us.
7. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.

✓ Total73 Response Found

- 8. Nothing else
- 9. Sir is very careful of all the student on ou our department.
- 10. Sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alos0 about information
- 11. sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alos0 about information
- 12. Emphasis on home task
- 13. Thank you so much sir
- 14. My college is good for studying and working with improving
- 15. My college is good for studying and working with improving
- 16. Good
- 17. Thanks

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 31 Response

Dept. English


Name Dr. Ranjit Paria

Year 2021


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total31 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Modern technology can be added to simplify topic
- 2. Library improvement
- 3. Our teacher are very kind to us. I hope that he will carryon this kindness to us in future.
- 4. Improvement of E-LIBRAR
- 5. Nothing else
- 6. If our sir give screen presentation on online classes it will be better for us.

- 7. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.
- 8. Nothing else

✓ Total31 Response Found


 Total60 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 60 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 60 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No
2. Teachers are very helpful for us and not only study but also support many other field like sports and art and always try to increase our skill power and knowledge at last I hope this process will be continue.
3. No
4. It's ok
5. Excellent organization and motives
6. my favourite HOD. Mam teaches us everything in my subject. She's very polite and patient, She answers everybody's queries and doesn't limit our learnings to the textbook.

✓ Total60 Response Found

9. ?? ????? ???? ??? ????? ???? ????? ???? ?

10. Good

11. Nothing

12. Nothing.

13. Good

14. Good

15. Kono problem nei

16. Kono problem nei

17. No

18. No

19. No

20. Practical ??? ???? ???? ???? ???? ?

## 21. Practical ??? ???? ???? ??? ???? ?

22. ???? ?????? ???? ?

23. Kono problem nai...

24. Good

25. Practicle aga thaka dela valo hoy

26. Good

## 27. Practical age thake dile hoy

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 18 Response

Dept. Zoology


Name Dr. Sangita Samadder

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total18 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No
2. Teachers are very helpful for us and not only study but also support many other field like sports and art and always try to increase our skill power and knowledge at last I hope this prosscuss will be continue.
3. No
4. It's ok
5. Excellent organization and motives

6. my favourite HUD. Mam teaches us everything in my subject. She's very polite and patient, She answers everybody's queries and doesn't limit our learnings to the textbook.

✓ Total18 Response Found


 Total14 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 14 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total14 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Nothing
- 2. NA

✓ Total14 Response Found

✓ Total20 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 20 Response

Dept. Botany


Name Dr. Shibdas Maity

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total20 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. No advise
- 2. ???? ???? ???? ?????? ???? ?????
- 3. Good
- 4. Good
- 5. Sob class Nile valo hoy
- 6. Good
- 7. Sob class korle valo hoy

8. ??????? ?????? ????

9. Help

10. Pratakdin class hola valo hoto....

11. Good

✓ Total20 Response Found

✓ Total20 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 20 Response

Dept. Botany


Name Dr. Sudhansu San

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total20 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. I saw that the online class is disturbed by net work problem so if the class is start only morning time
2. No suggestions
3. Very good
4. use projector type education system
5. No advise
6. Good

✓ Total20 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 14 Response


Dept. Botany

Name Dr. Sudhansu Samanta

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good




12. Overall rating

■ Unsatisfactory  
■ Average  
■ Satisfactory  
■ Good  
■ Very Good



### Suggestions

1. I saw that the online class is disturbed by net work problem so if the class is start only morning time
2. No suggestions




 Total21 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 21 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




 Total21 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. I hope that all exam like net, set, gate etc are guided us very carefully.
2. My favorite one
3. Guided us for all types of competitive exams
4. Good
5. I would happy if i would get inspiration from him on innovative ideas and real life applications with physics.

✓ Total21 Response Found

✔ Total18 Response Found

⚠ Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 5

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 18 Response

Dept. 

Physics


Name 

Dr. Suman Mandal


Year 

2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. I hope that all exam like net, set, gate etc are guided us very carefully.
- 2. My favorite one
- 3. Guided us for all types of competitive exams
- 4. Good
- 5. I would happy if i would get inspiration from him on innovative ideas and real life applications with physics.

✓ Total18 Response Found

⚠ Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 5.

✓ Total30 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 30 Response

Dept. 

Physics


Name 

Dr. Tapas Misra


Year 

2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total30 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. having experimental example is too good for us
2. I hope all exams like net, set, gate etc are guided us very carefully.
3. Everything is good
4. Guided us for NET, GATE, SET
5. Good
6. I need inspiration from tapas sir. I would be happy if he would help with innovative ideas.

✓ Total30 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 28 Response

Dept. Physics


Name Dr. Tapas Misra

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total28 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. having experimental example is too good for us
- 2. I hope all exams like net, set, gate etc are guided us very carefully.
- 3. Everything is good
- 4. Guided us for NET, GATE, SET
- 5. Good
- 6. I need inspiration from tapas sir.I would be happy if he would help with innovative ideas.

✓ Total28 Response Found


 Total55 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 55 Response

Dept.  Name  Year


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 55 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. No suggestion
3. No suggestion
4. No suggestion
5. No suggestion

✓ Total55 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 43 Response

Dept. Sanskrit


Name Dr. Tathagata Das

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total43 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. No suggestion
- 2. No suggestion
- 3. No suggestion
- 4. No suggestion
- 5. No suggestion

✓ Total43 Response Found

 Total69 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 69 Response

Dept. History




Name Dr.Nabakumar Ad




Year 2021


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total69 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Very good
- 2. Verry good
- 3. Very Good
- 4. Very Good
- 5. Very good
- 6. Very good
- 7. Very good

- 8. Very good
- 9. Very good
- 10. Very good
- 11. Very good
- 12. Very good
- 13. Very good
- 14. Nothing
- 15. All process is good
- 16. All is good
- 17. NA
- 18. Very good
- 19. NA
- 20. Very good

✓ Total69 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 19 Response

Dept. History


Name Dr.Nabakumar Adak

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total19 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Very good
2. Verry good
3. Very Good
4. Very Good
5. Very good
6. Very good

- / . Very good
- 8. Very good
- 9. Very good
- 10. Very good
- 11. Very good
- 12. Very good
- 13. Very good

✓ Total19 Response Found


 Total60 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 60 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total60 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No
2. Teachers are very helpful for us and not only study but also support many other field like sports and art and always try to increase our skill power and knowledge at last I hope this proccess will be continue.
3. It's ok
4. No
5. "Excellent organization and motives."
6. Good

✓ Total60 Response Found

- 7. It would have been very good if the practical was shown a little too well in online.
- 8. Good
- 9. Good
- 10. ????? ????????? ???? ?????? ????????????? ?????? ???? ???? ?????? ???? ?????? ??????
- 11. ?? ????? ???? ???? ???? ?????
- 12. Good
- 13. Good
- 14. Good
- 15. Nothing
- 16. Sob class Nile valo hoy
- 17. Nothing.
- 18. Sob class nile valo hoy
- 19. Ok
- 20. No
- 21. No
- 22. No
- 23. ???????? unit ??? ??? ????? important question ???? ?????? ???? ?
- 24. ???????? unit ????? ??? ????? important Question ??? ?????? ???? ?
- 25. ????????? ?????? ????? ????? ???
- 26. Kono problem nai..
- 27. Class test hola valo hoy
- 28. Good
- 29. Class test nile valo hoy

✔ Total20 Response Found

⚠ Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 11

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 20 Response

Dept. Zoology


Name Dr.Shampa Patra

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




✓ Total20 Response Found

Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 11


9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

1. No
2. Teachers are very helpful for us and not only study but also support many other field like sports and art and always try to increase our skill power and knowledge at last I hope this prosscuss will be continue.
3. It's ok
4. No
5. "Excellent organization and motives."

6. Good

 Total20 Response Found

 Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 11


 Total27 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 27 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total27 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. I saw that the online class is disturbed by net work problem so if the class is start only morning time
- 2. No suggestions
- 3. use projector type education system
- 4. No advise
- 5. Good
- 6. ???? ???? ?????? ???? ?????? ???? ???? ?? ???? ?? ???? ?? ???? ?? ???? ??
- 7. Good

- 8. Boro boro question gulo lekhale valo hoy
- 9. Good
- 10. Kono problem nei
- 11. ???? ?????? ????
- 12. Help me
- 13. Kono problem nai ....
- 14. Good

✓ Total27 Response Found

 Total66 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 66 Response

Dept. Education


Name Miss Mouparna Sahoo

Year 2021


#### 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 66 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Needed essential book service in departmental library.
2. Everything is good
3. Needed Projector best teaching method
4. Needed projector best teaching method
5. Needed projector best teaching method
6. Nothing
7. Nothing

- 8. Nothing
- 9. Nothing
- 10. Nothing
- 11. Nothing
- 12. Nothing
- 13. No
- 14. Audio visual method

✓ Total66 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 29 Response


Dept. Education

Name Miss Mouparna Sahoo

Year 2021


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




✓ Total29 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating









Suggestions

- 1. Needed essential book service in departmental library.
- 2. Everything is good
- 3. Needed Projector best teaching method
- 4. Needed projector best teaching method
- 5. Needed projector best teaching method


✓ Total29 Response Found

**STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**


Based on 56 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 
**1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you****2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility****3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher****4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher****5. Ability to integrate content with other courses****6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class**


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. No suggestion
3. No problem



STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 37 Response

Dept. Sanskrit


Name Miss Supriya Sui

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total37 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. No suggestion

✓ Total37 Response Found


 Total28 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 28 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 28 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Good
2. I would happy if i would get inspiration from him on innovative ideas and real life applications with physics.
3. Irregular and bad teaching skill

✓ Total28 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 22 Response

Dept. Physics


Name Mr. Anjan Samanta

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total22 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. I would happy if i would get inspiration from him on innovative ideas and real life applications with physics.

✓ Total22 Response Found


 Total66 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 66 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 66 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Unique and informatic teaching should be followed
2. He is very much kind to us. He has helped us very much in the previous semester. I hope that he will help us in the same in the next semester.
3. Nothing
4. We have no suggestion at all. Sir's technique of teaching is best.
5. Nothing else
6. Sir is very careful and give us all suggestion. Sir help us to know all quarries.

✓ Total66 Response Found

- 7. The sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alosa about information
- 8. Sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alosa about information
- 9. The sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alosa about information
- 10. No idea.
- 11. Thank you
- 12. My college is good for studying with improving
- 13. My college is good for studying and working with improving

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 27 Response

Dept.English


NameMr. Atanu Samanta

Year2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Unique and informatic teaching should be followed
- 2. He is very much kind to us. He has helped us very much in the previous semester. I hope that he will help us in the same in the next semester.
- 3. Nothing
- 4. We have no suggestion at all. Sir's technique of teaching is best.
- 5. Nothing else



✓ Total28 Response Found


### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 28 Response


Dept.  Name  Year

Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 7


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 28 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. more questions for practice
2. I hope that all exam like net, set, gate etc are guided us very carefully.
3. Trying to do as best
4. Guided us for NET, GATE, SET and other competitive exams
5. I would happy if i would get inspiration from him on innovative ideas and real life applications with physics.

 Total28 Response Found

 Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 7

✔ Total24 Response Found

⚠ Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 5

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 24 Response

Dept. Physics


Name Mr. Barunaditya Dutta

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class



7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




✔ Total24 Response Found

⚠ Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 5


9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. more questions for practice
2. I hope that all exam like net, set, gate etc are guided us very carefully.
3. Trying to do as best
4. Guided us for NET, GATE, SET and other competative exams
5. I would happy if i would get inspiration from him on innovative ideas and real life applications with physics.

 Total24 Response Found

 Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 5

✓ Total16 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 16 Response

Dept. Botany


Name Mr. Debasish Mait

Year 2021


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total16 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. use projector type education system
- 2. ??? ???? ???? ???? ??? ?????? ???? ???? ?
- 3. Sob class gula Nile valo hoy
- 4. Good
- 5. Good
- 6. Good
- 7. Sob class nile valo hoy

8. Kono problem nei

9. ???????? ????? ???? ???? ???

10. Hello

11. Pratakdin class hola valo hoto...

12. Good

✓ Total16 Response Found

✓ Total16 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 16 Response

Dept. 

Physics


Name 

Mr. Dibyendu Prar


Year 

2021


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class



✓ Total16 Response Found


7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

1. I would happy if i would get inspiration from him on innovative ideas and real life applications with physics.


 Total105 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 105 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




## 7. Lecture was.




## 8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 105 Response Found




## 9. Nature of delivery was




## 10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



## 11. Presentation of the lecture was.



## 12. Overall rating

Suggestions

1. Chart, model, video, ppt etc.. Technology can be added.
2. Nothing else
3. Our teacher is very much helpful to us. I hope that he will carryon this system in future.
4. Improvement of E-LIBRAR and language lab.
5. Nothing else
6. There have no sufficient book in our CLG library.
7. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.

✓ Total105 Response Found

- 8. Emphasis writing
- 9. Thank you
- 10. If will be very helpful if you use projector and chart in future to teach the students.
- 11. Nothing else
- 12. Our sir teaches very care fully to know depth of the subject
- 13. Nice
- 14. My college is good for studying and working
- 15. The HOD sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alos0 about information)
- 16. The HOD sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alos0 about information
- 17. Emphasis on writing, reading and home task.
- 18. Very Good
- 19. We need a beautiful garden in front of our department
- 20. My college is good for studying and working with improving
- 21. I want a regular, clean and peaceful institution.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 48 Response

Dept. English


Name Mr. Gour Hari Mondal

Year 2021


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Chart, model, video, ppt etc.. Technology can be added.
2. Nothing else
3. Our teacher is very much helpful to us. I hope that he will carryon this system in future.
4. Improvement of E-LIBRAR and language lab.
5. Nothing else
6. There have no sufficient book in our CLG library.

- 7. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.
- 8. Emphasis writing
- 9. Thank you
- 10. If will be very helpful if you use projector and chart in future to teach the students.
- 11. Nothing else

✓ Total29 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 29 Response

Dept. 

Philosophy


 Name 

Mr. Gourhari Sinha


 Year 

2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total29 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No
2. Sir guides us well

✓ Total29 Response Found

✓ Total24 Response Found

**STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**

Based on 24 Response

Dept. History




Name Mr. Himansu Kum




Year 2021


## 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




## 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation &amp; comprehensibility




## 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




## 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




## 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



## 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total24 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Nothing
- 2. NA
- 3. NA

✓ Total24 Response Found

 Total69 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 69 Response

Dept.

Sanskrit



Name


Mr. Krishnendu Das

Year

2021


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total69 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. No suggestion
- 2. No suggestion
- 3. No suggestion
- 4. No suggestion
- 5. No suggestion

✓ Total69 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 48 Response

Dept. Sanskrit


Name Mr. Krishnendu Das

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total48 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. No suggestion
3. No suggestion
4. No suggestion
5. No suggestion

✓ Total48 Response Found


 Total25 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 25 Response

Dept. Name Year 


#### 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




 Total25 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Needed essential book service in departmental library.
2. Needed Projector best teaching method
3. Needed projector best teaching method
4. Needed projector best teaching method
5. Nothing
6. Nothing
7. Nothing

✓ Total25 Response Found


 Total 67 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 67 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total67 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. No suggestion
3. No suggestion
4. No suggestion
5. No suggestion

✓ Total67 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 46 Response

Dept. Sanskrit


Name Mr. NIMAI SANTRA

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total46 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating









Suggestions

- 1. No suggestion
- 2. No suggestion
- 3. No suggestion
- 4. No suggestion
- 5. No suggestion


✓ Total46 Response Found

**STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**


Based on 41 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 
**1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you****2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility****3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher****4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher****5. Ability to integrate content with other courses****6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class**


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. All is good enough
2. Very good
3. I want to make offline class as soon as possible
4. ##
5. Good
6. Good
7. Good

8. Good sir

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 17 Response

Dept. Mathematics


Name Mr. Pralay Poria

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. All is good enough
- 2. Very good
- 3. I want to make offline class as soon as possible
- 4. ##



✓ Total82 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 82 Response

Dept. Education


Name Mr. Prasenjit Jana

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total82 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Needed essential book service in departmental library.
2. Needed Projector best teaching method
3. Needed projector best teaching method
4. Needed projector best teaching method
5. Sir daittashil
6. Sir daittashil
7. Nothing

8. NA

9. Very good

10. Very good

11. NA

✓ Total82 Response Found

✓ Total40 Response Found


STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 40 Response

Dept. Education Name Mr. Prasenjit Jana Year 2021


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

Unsatisfactory  
Average  
Satisfactory  
Good  
Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

Unsatisfactory  
Average  
Satisfactory  
Good  
Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

Unsatisfactory  
Average  
Satisfactory  
Good  
Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

Unsatisfactory  
Average  
Satisfactory  
Good  
Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

Unsatisfactory  
Average  
Satisfactory  
Good  
Very Good




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

Unsatisfactory  
Average  
Satisfactory  
Good  
Very Good




✓ Total40 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Needed essential book service in departmental library.
2. Needed Projector best teaching method
3. Needed projector best teaching method
4. Needed projector best teaching mathod
5. Sir daittashil
6. Sir daittashil

✓ Total40 Response Found


 Total 54 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 54 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 54 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Student -teacher relationship should be friendly to make teaching enjoyable.
2. He is also very much helpful to us. I hope that he will help us in the same way in future.
3. Nothing
4. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.
5. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.
6. Nothing else
7. Sir give us good knowledge.

- 8. The sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alosa about information
- 9. Sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alosa about information
- 10. Emphasis on home task.
- 11. Thank you
- 12. My college is good for studying with improving

✓ Total54 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 23 Response

Dept. English


Name Mr. Santanu Bera

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Student -teacher relationship should be friendly to make teaching enjoyable.
- 2. He is also very much helpful to us. I hope that he will help us in the same way in future.
- 3. Nothing
- 4. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.
- 5. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.
- 6. Nothing else

✓ Total23 Response Found

 Total166 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 166 Response

Dept. Education


Name Mr. Somnath Roy

Year 2021


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total166 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. ????? ?????? ???? ???? ???????????
2. Verry good
3. Best good
4. Sob dik taka aro valo hok
5. ??????? a ??????????? ?? ????? ?????? ??? ????? ??? ??????
6. None
7. Sobdik taka aro valo hok

8. Be clear about learning objectives, offer varied experiences, use positive competition, offer rewards, give students responsibility, model enthusiasm for learning, harness student interests, help students find intrinsic motivation, track progress.



Total166 Response Found

- 9. Sob dik thaka aro sundor hok
- 10. Needed projector best teaching method
- 11. Needed projector best teaching method
- 12. Needed projector best teching method
- 13. Needed Essential book service in departmental library
- 14. Amra sir er poramorsa khub valo kore suni. O ades sahakare palon kori
- 15. Full concentrate on curriculum
- 16. Needed Practical bast patti curriculum
- 17. Needed projector best teaching mathod
- 18. Needed Projector best teaching method
- 19. Very good
- 20. Very good
- 21. Verry good
- 22. Very Good
- 23. Very good
- 24. Very Good
- 25. Needed projector best teaching method
- 26. Commitment should be better with tha student (Online class)
- 27. ?????????????? ?????? ?? ???? ?????????? ???? ?????? ??? ???? ???
- 28. Way of teaching should be better. The should create the interest of the subject among students.
- 29. Very good
- 30. Nothing
- 31. NA
- 32. Very good
- 33. ?? ???? ?????? ????? ???
- 34. Very good
- 35. Audio visual method
- 36. Very good quality subject and the syllabus is most attractive
- 37. Very good
- 38. Audio visual method
- 39. ?????? ???????????? ?? ?????????? ???????????? ???? ??? ??? ???? ?????????? ??? ??? ?????? ??? ?????? ?????????

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 68 Response


Dept. Education

Name Mr. Somnath Roy

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class


- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. ????? ????? ???? ???? ??????????
2. Verry good
3. Best good
4. Sob dik taka aro valo hok
5. ?????? a ?????????? ?? ????? ????? ??? ????? ??? ??????
6. None

- 7. Sobdik taka aro valo hok
- 8. Be clear about learning objectives, offer varied experiences, use positive competition, offer rewards, give students responsibility, model enthusiasm for learning, harness student interests, help students find intrinsic motivation, track progress, e
- 9. Sob dik thaka aro sundor hok
- 10. Needed projector best teaching method
- 11. Needed projector best teaching method
- 12. Needed projector best teching method
- 13. Needed Essential book service in departmental library
- 14. Amra sir er poramorsa khub valo kore suni. O ades sahakare palon kori
- 15. Full concentrate on curriculum
- 16. Needed Practical bast patti curriculum
- 17. Needed projector best teaching mathod
- 18. Needed Projector best teaching method
- 19. Very good
- 20. Very good
- 21. Verry good
- 22. Very Good
- 23. Very good
- 24. Very Good
- 25. Needed projector best teaching method
- 26. Commitment should be better with tha student (Online class)
- 27. ???????????? ?????? ?? ???? ????????? ???? ?????? ??? ???? ???
- 28. Way of teaching should be better. The should create the interest of the subject among students.


✓ Total58 Response Found

**STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**


Based on 58 Response

Dept. English Name Mr. Soumen Saho Year 2021


## 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




## 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation &amp; comprehensibility




## 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




## 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




## 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



## 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 58 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Informatic teaching can be presented to make attractive.
2. He is also very much kind to us. He has helped us in the previous examination very much by teaching. I hope that he will teach us in future in same
3. Nothing
4. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.
5. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.
6. Nothing else

7. Sir give us good knowledge and help all the student in the field.

8. The sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alosa about information

9. Sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alosa about information

10. Emphasis on home task.

11. Thank you

12. My college is good for studying with improving

✓ Total58 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 25 Response

Dept. English


Name Mr. Soumen Sahoo

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total25 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Informatic teaching can be presented to make attractive.
- 2. He is also very much kind to us. He has helped us in the previous examination very much by teaching. I hope that he will teach us in future in same
- 3. Nothing
- 4. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.
- 5. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.
- 6. Nothing else

✓ Total25 Response Found

✓ Total44 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 44 Response

Dept. English


Name Mr. Sujit Samanta

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 44 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Modern technology should be used.
2. He is also very much kind to us. He has helped us very much in the previous semester. And I think he will help us in the same in the future.
3. Nothing
4. Nothing else
5. Sir help know the subject of text very carefully and throw good knowledge.
6. Sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and also about information

7. There sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and also about information



Total44 Response Found

8. Thank you

9. My college is good for studying


 Total32 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 32 Response

Dept. Name Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total32 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. All the good.
2. ????????? ?????? ??? ???? ???? ??????????
3. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
4. Good
5. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on

✓ Total32 Response Found


 Total62 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 62 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




## 7. Lecture was.




## 8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 62 Response Found




## 9. Nature of delivery was




## 10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



## 11. Presentation of the lecture was.



## 12. Overall rating

Suggestions

1. Teaching Learning Material can be used.
2. He is also very much kind to us. I hope that he will carry on this system in future.
3. Improvement of E-LIBRAR
4. Nothing
5. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.
6. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.
7. Nothing else

8. Sir teaches us very carefully and give us good knowledge.

9. Sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alos

10. The sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alos

11. Emphasis on home task.

12. Thank you

13. My college is good for studying

14. Good

✓ Total62 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 26 Response

Dept. English


Name Mr. Uttam Maji

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total26 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Teaching Learning Material can be used.
2. He is also very much kind to us. I hope that he will carryon this system in future.
3. Improvement of E-LIBRAR
4. Nothing
5. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.
6. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.

/ . Nothing else

✓ Total26 Response Found

✓ Total40 Response Found

**STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**

Based on 40 Response

Dept. Bengali




Name Mrs. Debasri De G




Year 2021


## 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




## 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation &amp; comprehensibility




## 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




## 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




## 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



## 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total40 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Mam guides us like us mother.
- 2. NA
- 3. Thank you
- 4. NA
- 5. No problem
- 6. No problem
- 7. No problem

8. Very good

9. ?????? ??? ???? ???? ????? ????????

✓ Total40 Response Found


 Total49 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 49 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 49 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

- Teachers are very helpful for us and not only study but also support many other field like sports and art and always try to increase our skill power and knowledge at last I hope this process will be continue.
- No
- It's ok
- "Excellent organization and motives."
- It would have been very good if the practical was shown a little too well in online.
- ???? ???? ???? ?????? ???? ??????

✓ Total49 Response Found

- 7. Good
- 8. Class a lekhale valo hoy
- 9. Good
- 10. Nothing
- 11. Good
- 12. Class a lekhale valo hoy
- 13. ????? ????? ?????? ?????? ???? ?????? ???? ?????? ???? ???
- 14. Proti din class ta nile valo hoi
- 15. ???? ???????? ???? ?
- 16. Kono problem nai...
- 17. Good
- 18. Good
- 19. No
- 20. No
- 21. No

✔ Total16 Response Found

⚠ Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 9

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 16 Response

Dept. Zoology


Name Mrs. Jayashri Das

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class



7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




✓ Total16 Response Found

⚠ Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 9


9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Teachers are very helpful for us and not only study but also support many other field like sports and art and always try to increase our skill power and knowledge at last I hope this prosscure will be continue.
- 2. No
- 3. It's ok
- 4. "Excellent organization and motives."

 Total16 Response Found

 Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 9


 Total53 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 53 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 53 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Integrated teaching should be added and be enjoyable.
2. She has helped us very much in the previous semester. I hope she will help us in the same in next semester.
3. Nothing
4. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.
5. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.
6. Nothing else
7. Mam is very careful to all students and give us good knowledge.

- 8. The sir is too good ...And also he is too kindful ,he also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and also about information
- 9. The madam is too good ...And also madam is too kindful ,she also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alosso about information)
- 10. Madam is too good ...And also she is too kindful ,she also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alosso about information
- 11. Thank you
- 12. My college is good for studying and working with improving

✓ Total53 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 21 Response

Dept. English


Name Mrs. Mamata Ansary

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Integrated teaching should be added and be enjoyable.
- 2. She has helped us very much in the previous semester. I hope she will help us in the same in next semester.
- 3. Nothing
- 4. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.
- 5. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.
- 6. Nothing else




 Total 47 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 47 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




## 7. Lecture was.




## 8. Subject coverage was




## 9. Nature of delivery was




## 10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



## 11. Presentation of the lecture was.



## 12. Overall rating

Suggestions

1. No
2. Audio visual method
3. Audio visual method
4. No problem
5. No problem
6. Audio visual method

✓ Total47 Response Found


PARENTS FEEDBACK ON MANAGEMENT

Based on 95 Response


Year

2021


1. Frequency of parent-teacher meeting




2. Promptness in redressal of grievances




3. Extent of support to their ward




4. Extent of concession and other facilities provided(government schemes, financial aids)




5.Accessibility to the principal and concerned department teachers




6.Extent of academic and related issues discussion during parent-teacher meeting




7.Extent of information about the college, fees structure and its administration




8. Initiatives for inspiring meritorious but economically disadvantaged students




9. Fees structure commensurate with the economic status



10.Extent of suggestions welcomed and implemented



11. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Departmanta sob dik thaka unnota hok
2. ?? ???? ??? ?????? ????
3. ?????? ??? ????
4. None
5. Sobdik taka aro valo hok asa kor6e
6. None

7. Sobdik thaka aro valo unnotamoy hok
8. Good
9. Good
10. Sobdik taka aro valo hok
11. To help for needy students
12. Good
13. Needed Projector best teaching method
14. Needed projector best teaching mathod
15. It's ok
16. It would have been great if the money for exams and addmission had been reduced.
17. I am proud for a student sabang Sajanikanta mahavidyalaya.
18. To
19. ?????? ?????????? ?????????? ????
20. Good
21. ????? Semester ???? ?????? ??? ?? ?????? ???
22. Protiti Semesters a takar poriman jeno kom neoa hoy.
23. Semister ???? ?????? ?????? ????

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 26 Response

Dept. Zoology


Name Prof.(Dr.) Tapan K

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total26 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

- Teachers are very helpful for us and not only study but also support many other field like sports and art and always try to increase our skill power and knowledge at last I hope this proccess will be continue.
- It's ok
- Excellent organization and motives
- Yours principal is very good and carefull.yours principal is very excited to teach everything in my subject.Every department problem is quickly solve by principal.A great honest and sincere Man.
- ???? ???? ???? ?????? ???? ???? ?
- Sorry sir, ??? ???? ???? feedback ?????? ???? ???? ,???? ?? ?????? ?????? ??? ??????

- 7. Thanking you & Ok
- 8. Good

✓ Total26 Response Found

✓ Total21 Response Found

## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 21 Response

Dept. Political Science


Name Smt. Sunita Mitra

Year 2021


### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total21 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on.
2. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on
3. Sir and madam teach us very well. But there are some students who do not take classes every day that teachers need to keep an eye on



Total21 Response Found

 Total57 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 57 Response

Dept. Sanskrit




Name Smt. Susmita De



Year 2021


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

 Unsatisfactory  
 Average  
 Satisfactory  
 Good  
 Very Good




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 57 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. No suggestion
3. No suggestion
4. No suggestion
5. No suggestion

✓ Total57 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 43 Response

Dept. Sanskrit


Name Smt. Susmita De

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total43 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. No suggestion
3. No suggestion
4. No suggestion
5. No suggestion

✓ Total43 Response Found


 Total57 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 57 Response

 Dept.  Name  Year 


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total 57 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Modern technology can be added.
2. Library improvement
3. Our madam is also very kind to us. She has been helped us very much in the previous examination. I hope she should help us in the same way in future.
4. Improvement of E-LIBRAR
5. Nothing else
6. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.

7. If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helpful for us.

8. Nothing else

9. Mam is very careful of all students. She handle the class extremely good.

10. Madam is too good ...And also she is too kindful ,she also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and alos

about information

11. The madam is too good ...And also she is too kindful she also tries to solve every problems of students (only educational purposes and

aloso about information)

12. Emphasis on home task

13. Thank you

14. My college is good for studying and working with improving

15. Very good

✓ Total57 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 24 Response

Dept. English


Name Smt. Tanusri Dey

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total24 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Modern technology can be added.
- 2. Library improvement
- 3. Our madam is also very kind to us. She has been helped us very much in the previous examination. I hope she should help us in the same way in future.
- 4. Improvement of E-LIBRAR
- 5. Nothing else
- 6. If our sir give screen presentation through online classes it will be better for us.

/ . If our respected sirs and madams give screen presentation through online classes, it will be helptul for us.

8. Nothing else

✓ Total24 Response Found

✓ Total32 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 32 Response

Dept. Mathematics


Name Sri Biswajit Maity

Year 2021


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




✓ Total32 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


✓ Total34 Response Found

**STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER**


Based on 34 Response

Dept.  Name  Year 


## 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




## 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation &amp; comprehensibility




## 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




## 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



## 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




## 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




 Total 34 Response Found


7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. Good sir


 Total78 Response Found

### STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 78 Response

Dept.  Name  Year


#### 1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




#### 2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




#### 3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




#### 4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




#### 5. Ability to integrate content with other courses



#### 6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was


✓ Total78 Response Found




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



### Suggestions

1. No suggestion
2. College ?????????? sanskrit book ??? ????? ???.
3. No suggestion
4. No suggestion
5. No suggestion
6. No suggestion

✓ Total78 Response Found